

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

PETER ACCURSO, <i>Plaintiff & Counterclaim Defendant,</i>	: : : : : :	CIVIL ACTION
v.	:	
INFRA-RED SERVICES, INC., et al., <i>Defendants & Counterclaim Plaintiffs.</i>	: :	No. 13-7509

ORDER

AND NOW, this 15th day of February, 2018, the Court hereby **ORDERS**:

1. The Motion of Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant Peter Accurso to Stay Enforcement of Judgment (Doc. No. 140) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

2. The Motion of Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs Infra-Red Services, Inc., Roofing Dynamics Group, LLC, Roofing Dynamics, Inc., Brian Land, and Audrey Strein for Post Trial Relief (Doc. No. 143) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

3. The Motion of Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant Peter Accurso for Attorney Fees, Liquidated Damages, Costs, and Pre-Judgment Interest (Doc. No. 144) is **DENIED**.

4. The Amended Motion of Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant Peter Accurso for Judgment as a Matter of Law, or, in the Alternative, for a New Trial and/or to Alter or Amend Judgment (Doc. No. 164) is **DENIED**.

5. The Amended Motion of Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs Infra-Red Services, Inc., Roofing Dynamics Group, LLC, Roofing Dynamics, Inc., Brian Land, and Audrey Strein

Pursuant to Rule 50(B) for Judgment as a Matter of Law on Plaintiff's PA AGE [sic] Payment and Collection Law Claim (Doc. No. 165) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

6. The Amended Post-Trial Motions of Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs Infra-Red Services, Inc., Roofing Dynamics Group, LLC, Roofing Dynamics, Inc., Brian Land, and Audrey Strein (Doc. No. 166) are **DENIED AS MOOT**.

7. The Amended Post-Trial Motions of Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs Infra-Red Services, Inc., Roofing Dynamics Group, LLC, Roofing Dynamics, Inc., Brian Land, and Audrey Strein Pursuant to Rules 54, 59 and 60 (Doc. No. 171) is **DENIED**.

8. The Amended Post-Trial Motion of Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs Infra-Red Services, Inc., Roofing Dynamics Group, LLC, Roofing Dynamics, Inc., Brian Land, and Audrey Strein Pursuant to Rule 50(B) for Judgment as a Matter of Law on Plaintiff's PA AGE [sic] Payment and Collection Law Claim (Doc. No. 172) is **DENIED**.

BY THE COURT:

S/Gene E.K. Pratter
GENE E.K. PRATTER
United States District Judge